

II. REMARKS

A. Introduction

In this Office Action claims 1-3 are allowed and claims 4-5 are rejected.

In summary of this Response, the specification is amended, the rejection is addressed, and remarks are provided.

B. Obviousness-Type Double Patenting Rejection of Claims.

In the Office Action, an obviousness-type double patenting rejection is made for claims 4-5 over the claims 12-13 of U.S. Serial No. 10/786,082, still pending.

In response thereto, attached is a Terminal Disclaimer on behalf of the Assignee.

Also attached is the appropriate \$130.00 disclaimer fee set by 37 C.F.R. §1.20(d).

In light of the filing of this Terminal Disclaimer, it is respectfully submitted that this double patenting rejection has been overcome.

C. Specification Amendment

Pursuant to the Examiner's request, the Abstract is amended herein to conform to length requirements.

III. CONCLUSION

In light of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that claims 1-5 are now in condition for allowance.

If there are any additional fees associated with this Response, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Serial No. 10/781,636

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this Response, the undersigned would appreciate a telephone conference with the Examiner to attend to these matters.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: _____

3/9/06

By: _____

William F. Herbert
Registration No. 31,024

1201 New York Avenue, NW, 7th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501